



PRO

CON

Everything that exists has an explanation of its existence, either in a cause or in the necessity of its own nature

Then God must have an explanation of its existence

No, God exists by the necessity of His own nature

This is a self-evident principle -
The ball in the woods - the watch on the beach

The universe is an exception to this principle

This is an arbitrary choice, denied by the taxicab fallacy

No it is not arbitrary. The universe cannot have an explanation

This assumes the universe is all there is - There is no evidence of that being the case. Kalam's argument - If the universe has an explanation of its existence that explanation is God

No, the universe exists by a necessity of its own nature

If so, then why the option of multiverses? What about alternative particles, time and space?

